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The ADVANCE Institutional Transformation Project was designed to address the specific needs of gender equity at the University of North Carolina at C harlotte. The goals of the grant are to increase the recruitment, retention and promotion of women in the science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) disc iplines. This report presents project activities since the last a nnual report on J une 1, 2009. Annual a ctivities are presented for the academic year 2009-2010, along with summative program outcomes apparent in overall trends since grant inception (2006-2007 academic year). Toolkit tables represent the most c urrent year a vaila ble, 2008-2009.


All photo images © by UNC Charlotte and/or UNC Charlotte ADVANCE through policy reform, university partnerships, and the institutionalization of faculty development programs in a continuous effort to support recruitment, retention and academic success of female faculty.

## Exec utive Summary

ADVANCE UNC Charlotte is catalyzing an equitable gender climate among Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (STEM) faculty through policy reform, university partnerships, and the institutionalization of faculty development programs in a continuous effort to support recruitment, retention and academic success of female faculty.

Policy reforms initiated by ADVANCE, via the policy review Future of the Faculty Committee, include broadening the pathways leading to promotion, approval of a faculty ombudsperson, and adding rationales for stopping the tenure clock, the defined time to achieve tenure. As a result, the number of female STEM faculty successfully achieving promotion and tenure has increased 14\% since the 2006 baseline, and the number of women in STEM leadership positions has increased 23\%; 6 female fac ulty were promoted in STEM disciplines in 2008-2009. Study of the gaps in institutional effectiveness for faculty has led to the establishment of a permanent Committee on Faculty Welfare by the Faculty Council and has identified a specific need for on-campus childcare. A childcare facility site has been identified in the University Master Plan, and the university seeks a partnership to develop a facility.

ADVANCE has fostered partnerships with Ac ademic Affa irs, Human Resources, the C ounc il on University Community, the Chancellor's Diversity Initiative, and the Center for Professional and Applied Ethics which have resulted in the successful development and implementation of 6 key program initiatives: faculty development, a women's speakers series, a competitive awards program, Leadership UNC Charlotte, new departmental chairs orientations, and diversity rec ruitment training. Two of these efforts, faculty mentoring and diversity recruitment tra ining, are now permanently institutionalized.

Programs are impacting fac ulty. Leadership UNC Charlotte provides a yearlong seminar for 20-24 rising faculty leaders each year and faculty search committee members participate in diversity recruitment training each term. A unique feature of the mentoring program is a mid-careercomponent to support the transition of women faculty to the rank of full professor. ADVANCE has granted 19 Bonnie Cone fellowships to faculty women, resulting in the funding of nine research initiatives.

Future transformative research is undenway with the spring 2010 implementation of a tailored faculty climate survey, which will be uniquely established as a reliable and validated instrument that can be used at other institutions. Outcomes from the climate survey will be distributed to each university college dean asa Climate Scorecard, which will provide snapshots of findings aligned with the campus diversity goals established within each college. The dissemination of the Climate Scorecard will serve as a model of applied research dissemination and organizational transformation.

Program Ovenview

## 18 target STEM departments in $\mathbf{3}$ colleges

Programs available to all colleges: Leadership UNC
Charlotte, Fa culty Mentoring \& Networking for Mid-C areer Fac ulty a nd New Faculty, Women'sAcademy Speaker Series, Diversity Recruitment Training, New Chairs Orientations, New Faculty Orientations
Programs available to women in STEM: Competitive Awards, Customized Leadership Training (such as via COACh regarding negotiations.)

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { STEM } \\ \text { Science Technology Engineering \& Math }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { College of Liberal Arts \& } \\ \text { Sciences } \\ \text { Biology }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}College of Engineering <br>

Engineering <br>

Civil\end{array}\right\}\)| Electrical \& Computer |
| :---: |
| Engineering Technology |
| Mechanical |

Overall Highlights 2006-2009*
*Most c urrent a vailable

STEM Faculty Gender Distribution:

- $20 \%$ of tenure track STEM faculty are women as of 2008-9 a cademic year, up from $14 \%$ in 2004 (baseline yearprior to ADVANCE initiative)
Institutional Rec ruitment Outc omes:
- A steady inc rease has oc curred in female tenure track faculty rec ruitment and leadership roles between 2006-7 (the first year of implementation) a nd 2008-9
o Women STEM fac ulty new hires up from $27 \%$ to $36 \%$
o Women in leadership roles up from $28 \%$ to $35 \%$
Promotion and Tenure:
- All female faculty promotions were approved (2006-7-2008-9)
- The percentage of female faculty promotions inc reased from $15 \%$ to $46 \%$ in $2008-9$ ac ademic year, and are up 18\% from baseline year 2004
- All female faculty tenure packets were approved (2006-7-2008-9)
- Regrettably, only $25 \%$ of tenure packet submissions were from women (2007-8, 2008-9), although this is up from 19\% in 2006-7
Institutiona lization:
- 3 Policy changes; 4 recommendations under review =increased rationale forstop the clock, multiple pathways for promotion, ombudsperson, childcare center
Program Initiatives:
- 42 new faculty have participated in the year-long mentoring program
- 59 faculty have participated in the Leadership UNC Charlotte program
- 32 Competitive Awards recipients (19 individuals; 3 departments)
- Over 90 collaborative events and speakers


## Goals and Organization

Goal 1: Recruitment To increase the number of female faculty, including women of color, interviewed and hired in STEM disc iplines at all ranks.

## Desired Outcomes:

- Increased female, and women of color, applicants to STEM faculty positions
- Increased campus a wa reness a bout equitable recruiting practices

Goal 2: Retention and advancement To increase the number of female faculty, including women of color, retained and promoted in STEM disciplines at all ranks.

## Desired Outcomes:

- Increased female, and women of color, fa culty reta ined in STEM
- Increased understanding of promotion \& tenure requirements by female, and women of color, fa culty in STEM
- Increased promotions for female faculty in STEM
- Increased support for research, teaching and service
- Increase the number of women from associate to full professor and in university leadership positions

> ADVANCE UNC Charlotte has established five primary goals.

Goal 3: Climate: To improve and ensure gender equity in views on salary, workload, resources, and recognition at the university.

## Desired Outcomes:

- Inc reased salary equity of women faculty in STEM
- Increased perception of equitable climate
- Increased perception of work/life balance

Goal 4: Institutional Transformation: To catalyze organizational change that will intentionally attract, retain, support and ADVANCEADVANCE women in science, technology, engineering a nd math (STEM) disciplines.

## Desired Outcomes:

- Susta in ADVANCE efficacy
- Institutiona lize ADVANCE partnerships \& initia tives

Goal 5: Dissemination: To communic ate resources, findings and best practices of gender equity rec ruiting, retention, a nd climate initia tives, particula rly a mong STEM disciplines.

## Desired Outcomes:

- Increased national awareness of effective gender equity practices
- Serve asa model of best practices
- Promote an effective implementation \& evaluation methodology
- Provide career development and support resources to women in STEM disciplines


## ADVANCE Organizational Structure

Based upon recommendations from the April 2009 NSF Site Visit, the ADVANCE Fa culty Affairs Office instituted significant change in the organizational structure of the program administration during the summer of 2009. The Faculty Director became half-time staff, an increase from quarter-time. A fulltime program support position, Program Communications Director, was created to provide project management and communications within the university and community. A Steering Committee was formed to lead project initiatives. The Steering Committee is composed of program leadership (the PI, Co-PI and Faculty Director, the Program Communications Director, the Evaluator, and the lead faculty of our programs). The A Team remains intact, functioning as an advisory board. In July 2009, new team members staffed the Program Evaluation Team (PET). The full time Program Communications Director joined in Fall 2009, charged with dissemination of ADVANCE project initiatives. In March 2010, a new Administrative Assistant joined the staff as a replacement.

## Chart 1. ADVANCE UNC Charlotte Organizational Structure

| Organizational <br> Component | Member(s) | Roles and Responsibilities of Component Member(s) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Executive <br> Management <br> Team | Joan Lorden, Provost | Principal Investigator; Direct and guide all project initiatives |
|  | Yvette Huet, Biology Professor | Co- PI and Faculty Director; Direct and oversee all project <br> initiatives |
|  | Lynn Roberson, Communications Director | Program Communications Director; Lead internal and external <br> communications and marketing campaign, manage projects |
| Steering <br> Committee <br> Members | Catherine Blat, Director of Assessment, <br> Engineering | Lead Faculty of Competitive Awards Program |
|  | Kim Buch, Psychology Professor | Lead Faculty of Mid-Career Faculty Mentoring |
|  | Karen Schmaling, Dean of the College of <br> Health \& Human Services | Chair, Lead Faculty, of Future of the Faculty Committee |
|  | Kerrie Stewart, Council on University <br> Community | Provide collaboration with campus diversity program initiatives |


|  | Lisa Rashotte, Sociology Professor | Provide input and guidance to program initiatives |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Susan Sell, Associate Dean, the Graduate <br> School | Provide input and guidance to program initiatives |
| ADVANCE <br> Faculty Affairs <br> Office Staff | Alexandra Arrington, Administrative Support <br> Associate | Provide program implementation and budget support |
|  | Krupesh Thacker, Graduate Assistant | Masters Graduate Assistant- Provide program support |

## Polic y Reform

Several key policy reform initiatives have been implemented or are under way as of J une 2010. Tenure clock extension rationale has been expanded to include delays in institutional commitments. Professional development for faculty has been instituted through the Mid Career Mentoring program and the New Faculty Mentoring program, along with the mandatory year-long New Faculty Orientation, and Leadership UNC Charlotte. Practicessurrounding diversity equity have become institutionalized via the campus wide diversity planning, a nd through faculty search committee diversity training and new chairs orientations. The standing Committee on Faculty Wellness of the Fa culty Council represents a struc ture that has been implemented. Through these polic ies, practic es a nd structures, the UNC Charlotte ADVANCE initia tive is becoming institutionalized for continuous and pemanent equity enhancements.

Chart 2. ADVANCE UNC Chardotte Institutiona lization as of J une 2010

## ADVANCE Institutionalization: Policies, Practices and Stuctures

| Policies | Description | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tenure clock extension | Tenure clock extension: <br> increased reasons for <br> stopping clock, e.g., delays <br> in institutional commitments, <br> administrative responsibilities | Approved by Faculty <br> Counc il |
| Committee composition | Faculty search committees | Committee members must <br> have partic ipated in <br> diversity training within 3- <br> yeartime period of search |
| Professional development | Institutiona lized programs for <br> faculty development: <br> University campus wide <br> mentoring, new and mid- <br> career, first available in <br> 2007-08; New Faculty <br> Orientation, mandatory <br> year-long program for all <br> incoming faculty | These programs are open <br> to all faculty, an expansion <br> of the College of Liberal <br> Arts \& Sciences program |


| Incomorating equity issues | Faculty search committee training | Offered each term; committee members must have participated once within 3-yeartime period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New Chair Orientations | Academic yearcohorts convene 2-4 timesa year for topic al disc ussions with seasoned chairs |
| Continual climate survey | HERI | Partic ipate in triennial fa culty surveys conducted by UCLA |
|  | COACHE | Partic ipate in triennial tenure-track faculty surveys conducted by Harvard's Collaborative on Academic Careers in Higher Educ ation |
|  | Intemal Tenure-Track Faculty Survey | All faculty surveyed in 2010; will continue in years between HERI and COACHE |
| Continual salary equity studies | Part of Campus Diversity Plan | Institutional Research conducts salary equity a nalysis annually with each college, reviewing with Provost, Deans, Academic Affairs budget staff |
| Professional development | Leadership UNC Charlotte | Annual program consisting of faculty cohorts nominated by Deans and Department Chairs |
|  | Year-Long New Faculty Orientation | Annual program consisting of newly hired faculty cohorts |
|  | New Chair Orientations | Seminar series for new chairs with informal, group mentoring by seasoned chairs; institutiona lized 2009 |
|  | New Faculty Mentoring Program | Annual program offered to new faculty and ongoing mid-career mentoring program |
|  | Mid-Career Mentoring Program |  |
| Collecting and monitoring gender equity data | Campus Diversity Plan | Colleges report diversity data, including gender in strategic plans (voluntarily) |
| Increased recognition of women's scientific accomplishments | Women's Speaker Series | Provided annually by the Centerfor Professional \& Applied Ethics |
| On Campus Childc are | Site identified and planned | Pending funding and identific ation of private sector partner |


| Regular Exit Interviews | Conducted asfaculty <br> teminate employment <br> (voluntary and unapproved <br> re-appointment) | Conducted by Academic <br> Affairs |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Structures |  |  |  | Future of the Faculty <br> Committee | Will work in tandem with the <br> elected Fa culty Welfare <br> Committee |
| Monitoring Structures | Faculty Welfare Committee | Permanent subcommittee <br> of Faculty Council |  |  |  |
|  | Ombudsperson | Endorsed by Faculty <br> Council and <br> recommended as a <br> funding prionity |  |  |  |

## Pa rtnerships

UNC Bridges<br>UNC Charlotte Center for Professional and Applied Ethics<br>UNC Charlotte Chancellor's Diversity Initia tive<br>UNC Charlotte Council on University Committee<br>UNC Charlotte Human Resources Department

The UNC Bridges Program, a UNC system wide program, seeks to develop and sharpen the skills of women of the UNC system in the realms of administration and leadership. The Bridges alumni on our campus hold monthly meetings for networking and are often called upon to camy out leadership development projects. We continue to work with these groupsas the partnership is both local at UNC Charlotte, and statewide, enabling us to bring the work of ADVANCE to our 16 sister institutions.

ADVANCE partnered with the Centerfor Professional and Applied Ethics to host a series of women speakers on research on gender and equity. The series also brought to campusleading women a cademic iansto speak on their research and network with faculty. This collaboration provided several opportunities to raise awareness of the ADVANCE goals and initiatives to students, faculty, and administra tors from a cross the institution, as well as the community at large.

The ADVANCE Faculty Affairs Office, through which ADVANCE programming is administered, shares contiguous office space with the Office of the Council on University Community. The Cha ncellor charged the Council, a ppointed in 2006, with leading diversity efforts at UNC Charlotte, a nd advising him on means to create and susta in an inclusive environment that values the presence of people with diverse backgrounds, experiences, and ideas. The Council is composed by members of the Chancellor's cabinet and chaired by ProvostJ oan Lorden. The Council la unched the Chancellor's Diversity Challenge Fund, a mini-grant program to fund faculty, staff, and student initiatives to promote the value of diversity at UNC Charlotte. The Council has also completed the university Diversity Plan. Ms. Kemie

Stewart, staff to the Council, has drawn hea vily on the work of the ADVANCE Committee on the Future of the Faculty, in providing input to the Council for the Diversity Plan. Ms. Stewart works with the ADVANCE staff to raise campus a wa reness a round diversity and inclusiveness issues, share data relevant to gender, race/ethnicity, and equity, and through ADVANCE initia tives such as the diversity recruitment tra ining, to promote equity and inclusiveness in policy and climate.

The university Human Resources Department and the ADVANCE program collaborate in the development and implementation of the Diversity Recruitment workshops held for faculty search committee members. This partnership enables the exchange of training expertise tying together the process of recruiting and selection, diversity a wa reness, and effectively inclusive meeting disc ussions.

## Faculty Development Initiatives

## Faculty Mentoring

The New Faculty Mentoring
Program has completed its third year of implementation, which consists of an a nnual pa ining of newly hired a ssistant professors with associate or higher level faculty in one-on-one mentoring. The mentor pairings are made based upon qualifiers the mentees indicate are important to them (new for 2009). An orientation to the mentoring program kicks off the program in September, which also servesto facilitate the mentoring pairs and foster relationship development and the establishment of expectations. This fall, 21 new faculty partic ipated in
the New Faculty Mentoring program, less than the previous year (46) due to reduced hiring of new faculty as a result of the recession.

The Mid-Career Mentoring
Program has suc cessfully completed its sec ond full year. We have created a mid-career development template for helping associate professors more intentionally negotiate this stage of their careers. The template is the comerstone for three separate midcareer mentoring initiatives:

1) one-on-one career mentoring formid-career associate professor women in Psychology, Chemistry, and Physics, 2) peer mentoring for all associate professors in the College of Engineering, and 3) Focus

Energy Fridays for mid-c a reer faculty networking. In the one-on-one mentoring program, associate professors are paired with full professor mentors of their choice who are trained and then serve as resourcesas the participant works through the mid-careerdevelopment template. In the peer mentoring program, associate professors serve as resourcesto each other as they work through the mid-career development template. In the Focus Energy Fridays for midcareer fa culty, all assoc iate professors are invited to attend informal meetings where they disc uss topics related to the mid-career development template. One very successful feature of all our mid-c a reer programs is the Faculty Forum, during which deans and the provost address the topic
"Pa thways to Full Professor." All associate professors are invited. This grew out of our work with the mid-career development template, which includes a step on gaining clarity on criteria for promotion to full professor.

## Mid Year Mentoring Program

Survey: In December 2009, mentors and mentees in the New Faculty Mentoring program were surveyed about their experience with the program. Nine faculty responded to the mentee survey (all female), and 11 responded to the mentorsurvey ( 3 males). The representation of STEM disciplines waslow, 1 mentee; 2 mentors. Most mentees reported meeting once ortwice a semester with their mentor (56\%) and communicating with their mentors often by phone and email (78\%).

Overall satisfaction with the program washigh (above 55\%). Mentee responses indicate that mentees, who are assistant level faculty, have more opportunity to interact with junior faculty (78\%) than senior faculty (44\%). Most mentors were new to the program in 2009 (46\%). All mentors believed that tho ralatinnchin inecholnfilltn

## New Faculty Orientation

This year-long new faculty orientation program covers a variety of important issues faculty face to help them navigate these and other

24 new hires in 2009-2010
An average of 12 participated in each event issues as well as build a sense of community. In 2009-2010, the new faculty cohort gathered for 7 events: a welc ome orientation, and workshops on extemal grant programs, plagiarism, communications technology, mentoring graduate students, reappointment, promotion and tenure process, and a closing gathering to reflect on the first year.

## Top 2 Issues Disc ussed with Mentors: <br> Work/ life balance (85\%) <br> Reappointment, Promotion \& Tenure (75\%)

## Competitive Awards

The ADVANCE program began with two distinct competitive awards progra ms: Solutions Team Awards and Bonnie Cone Fellowship Awards. The project team decided to eliminate the Solutions Team Awards program, as the 2009 NSF site visitors suggested, because the program wasnotas suc cessful as hoped. Two previously a warded solutions team grants are being evaluated to determine if components of those proposalscould be expanded to other units. The two a wardsare: the Psychology Department, which is evaluating climate locally using a consultant and which is now implementing changes through a diversity committee; the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences (CLAS) which has a fa culty member who is identifying needs and waysto
implement the College's diversity goals.

CLAS Solutions Team Award
The award hasenabled signific a nt progress on implementing the diversity plan approved by the college last year. The overarching goal of the proposal was to provide a point person, the diversity coordinator, who would oversee implementation of the diversity plan in the college. Part of the strategy for implementing the policy is to infuse its recommendations into the strategic plans of the college and other units. Dr. Blume, as Diversity

Coordinator, has served as a consultant to CLAS units during the strategic planning process, has provided extensive reviews and comments on drafts of unit strategic plans, and has assisted the dean as needed during the process. In addition, progress has been
made on implementing a new diversity component on the CLAS website that will be used to aid recruitment and retention of diverse students, staff, a nd faculty and serve asa repository of information and resources related to best diversity practices. The diversity coordinator also assisted the ADVANCE grant team in developing a climate survey that is being used university wide.

## Bonnie Cone Fellowships

The Bonnie Cone Fellowships for ind ividual fac ulty support are in the third year of administration. This year, the fellowships were again open to a ssistant and mid-career professors, and awarded in

Current outcomes from the first two cohorts combined indicate:

- 3 subsequent grant proposals submitted
- 12 joumal a ricic les submitted and/or published
- 4 conference proceedings
- 5 presentations/seminars delivered
the two categories of early or mid-career. The 2009-2010 program wasmanaged by Dr. Catherine Blat, Engineering.
In the 2009-2010 a cademic year, 7 suc cessful applic ations were awarded, totaling $\$ 50,000$. While it is too soon to determine the impact of these fellowships on the 2009 cohort, previous cohort recipients from 2007 and 2008 were surveyed in December 2009 to measure reported career progress that the recipients attribute to the fellowships. The fellowshipsenabled faculty to travel to conferencesfor networking and presentations, to reduce teaching loads in order to focus on research, to support research through hiring graduate assistants, and to support career development endeavors, such as consulting with a career coach.
"The Bonnie Cone Fellowship has helped my career development by giving me the resources to work on research during the summer, rather than eaming money through summerteaching." C ohort 1 Recipient
"Being able to tra vel [to facility] a nd spend time working at an Industrial Research lab was a useful experience, as was working with colleagues [at facility]. Both experiences helped push forward research projects." Cohort 1 Recipient
"The Bonnie Cone Fellowship has allowed me to establish a field research site." Cohort 2 Recipient


Dr. Banita Brown, Associate Professor of Chemistry, Master's Program Coordinator

## Leadership UNC Charlotte

The Leadership UNC Charlotte program is in its third year of implementation with a cohort of 24 fac ulty. Chairs and Deans nominate faculty members to partic ipate in the year-long development program that hosts workshops each semester to engage the cohort in active disc ussion of academic leadership topics. Key topics focused on the dynamics of a successful committee, negotiations, conflict resolution, and faculty ad staff evaluation practices. A number of high profile campus leaders, such as the Chancellor, and extemal leaders including Dr. Carla Fehr (Iowa State ADVANCE) and COACh members
(Committee on the Advancement of Women in Chemistry) addressed the group.

Six workshops were conducted in 2009-2010. Participants were prima rily associate professors and professors; half were female. Overall, faculty rated the sessionsas moderately or very useful (above 80\%). Over 80\% found the sessions provided information to enable them to be agents forchange in their departments.

New Chairs Orientation was hosted in conjunction with

chairs (89\%) partic ipated. The new chairs were especially positive about hearing from a panel of seasoned chairs, and requested additional networking opportunities with the experienced cohort. In response, a second meeting was held in the spring semester.

August and waswell
received. Sixteen new

Proctram Sessions 2009-2010
"Envisioning the Future of UNC Charlotte;" Presenter: Dr. J oan Lorden, Provost "Identifying and Recruiting the Best Faculty;" Presenter: Dr. Nancy Gutierrez, Dean, College of Liberal Arts \& Sciences
"The Chemistry of Leadership;" Presenters: COACh
"Reappointment, Promotion and Tenure and Group Dynamics;" Presenters: Dr. J oan Lorden a nd Dr. Lisa Ra shotte, Assoc ia te Professor, Director of Sociology Masters Program
"The Goodwill Trap;" Presenter: Dr. Carla Fehr, Iowa State University
Lunch with the Chancellor and Provost

## Diversity Recruitment

Training
Campus search
committees continue to receive diversity rec ruitment tra ining through the ADVANCE initia tive, which added content to the existing seminars, including case studies, enha nced tra ining on cognitive bias in committee discussions and diversity rec ruitment solutions, such as how to write job advertisements for diversity rec ruiting. In the 2009-2010 academic year, a workshop was offered once during the fall term and twice in the spring term to a total of 26 participants. The spring partic ipants were surveyed

[^0]about their opinion of the workshop, a nd 84\% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with the statement that they became more aware of potential bias in the search process that they had not recognized prior to the workshop.

Rec ruitment Equity Study A comprehensive study of faculty recruiting is underway for the program initiative years (2005-2009). Prelimina ry findings present interesting indications for STEM; a complete report will be available in 2011. A content analysis review of faculty job advertisements for diversity inclusive language hasfound a gap in the use of diversity language between STEM departments and non-STEM departments. Approximately one-quarter of the STEM ads include diversity language while close to an equal split exists in non-STEM fields that include diversity language in theirads. Findingsfrom a joint study conducted by ADVANCE at Case Westem


Reserve University a nd UNC Charlotte have found that female candidates in STEM constituted greater proportions of the "short list" candidates and hires during a 5 yearperiod (Bilimoria \& Buch, in press). A statistically signific ant linear relationship wasfound between the percent of female and underrepresented minority applicants in the candidate pool and their degree of inclusion on the "short list."

Gender equity and diversity equity climate perceptions among faculty are measured intermittently via The Higher Educ ation Research Institute (HERI) survey of University of Califomia - LosAngeles (implemented every 3 years $[2007,2010]$ for faculty [tenure and nontenure]) and Harvard's Collaborative of Academic Careers in Higher Education (COACHE) survey (implemented in altemate years [2006, 2008] for tenure track fac ulty). In 2009, UNC Chardotte ADVANCE Faculty Affairs Office developed an intemal climate survey, with plans to pilot the survey in late spring 2010. This survey will be implemented with tenure track faculty as a means of providing consistent and comparable benchmark data for assessment of College's diversity strategic

Women's SpeakerSeries
In partnership with the Center for Professional and Applied Ethics a nd its Director, Dr. Rosie Tong, ADVANCE hosted a speaker series entitled "Towards a More Diverse Academy: Women Taking the Lead." Six women who are national leaders in STEM disc iplines addressed campus through six lunc hes a nd six lectures. A total of 246 students, fa culty and staff attended the lectures and lunches, and information has been included on the ADVANCE website for further dissemination.


Dr. Elba Serrano, Regents Professor, New Mexico State University, Women's Speaker Series


Dr. Carla Fehr, Associate Professor, Philoso phy and Religious Studies, lowa State University, Women's Speaker Series

## Keynote speakers in 2009-2010 were:

Dr. Deborah J ohnson, University of Virginia
Dr. Gail Cassell, Eli Lilly and Company
Barbara Mulkey, Founder and Chaiman of Mulkey Engineers and Consultants, Inc. Dr. Rachel Seidman and Dr. Laura Edwards, Duke University

Dr. Elba Senano, New Mexic o State University
Dr. Carla Fehr, Iowa State University

## Communic ations Goals

The very best communic ations play an important and strategic role in accomplishment of an organization's mission, especially when the organization is foc used on institutional or organizational reform. The goals of the UNC Charlotte ADVANCE strategic communic ations are to develop and execute clear, consistent and integrated communic ations designed to: 1) raise awareness of ADVANCE as an effective and influential advocate for advancing the careers of women in STEM and for other faculty 2 ) increase a wareness and support of ADVANCE initiatives and participation in those efforts 3) improve effic iency through consistent and coherent communications and 4) communicate the need for climate change and equip leaders to drive that change. The ADVANCE team hired a Communic ations Director in November 2009 with communic ations and stakeholder mana gement experience to lead this effort.

## Communic ations Status

Efforts have focused on establishment of consistent processes, foundational documents and messa ges to bring increased order and coherence to the ADVANCE communic ations. Additionally, a strong focus has been placed on raising a wa reness a mong broad constituents intemally and extemally of the ADVANCE initiatives and their connection to institutional transformation. Results include:

Media placements

* 66 placements over six months in intemal and extemal media, including The Charlotte Observer (daily circulation: 196,000; da ily readership: over 500,000) and its online website (daily unique visitors: 70,000); WFAE public radio (weekly adult listeners: 190,000 plus); The Business Leader magazine; UNC Charlotte Magazine (15,000 circ ulation); The College of Liberal Arts and Sc iences magazine (15,000 print distribution and 17,000 online distribution); Campus News (2,300 electronic distribution plus additional opt-in readers on-line); multiple websites, including Crossroads Charlotte (the Charlotte region's community-wide diversity effort) and Facebook accounts, including UNC Charlotte's (7,900 fans); the NC Biotechnology news channels and the NC STEM Collaborative blog (both distributed to educators, policy leaders and business leaders). Additional one-to-one stakeholder outreach included emails and other communic ations directly with on-c a mpus groups, such as relevant student groups, the BRIDGES leadership cohorts, fa culty members, colleges' leadership, current and past ADVANCE leadership cohorts, extemal boards, trustees, etc .
Establishment of a standard "boilerplate" or identity statement: Previously, ADVANCE documents and leaders stated the organization's "reason for being" in varying ways. A new boilerplate statement brings consistency to those statements, links the work to the NSF and signals the evolving institutiona lization of the work.

Development of a new website: The previous ADVANCE website, which serves as the primary inbound communic ations channel, could not be efficiently updated to meet modem standards. A new website has la unched, with an emphasis on easy navigation and access to information that has relevancy and applicability. The website strives to present an orderly structure that communicates the organizational cohesion and largermeaning of the work. Tasks have included building a new website and updating a lmost all the materials that were on the previous website, as well as the conducting of research to obtain new, relevant materials. The new design will be able to grow with the initiative.

Raising a wareness of ADVANCE as an advocate: The linkage to ADVANCE from its well-regarded programming and its impact on campus needed more definition. To better communicate, news releases and other communication pieces now include the boilerplate statement, to overtly emphasize and imprint the connection of the programming to the ADVANCE initiative. The website and other communic ations pieces are updated to include progress toward goals and the influence of ADVANCE on institutional change. Communic ations about programming - and the overarching mission - have been aggressively distributed, with a high degree of placement in intemal and extemal media. Opportunities to showcase ADVANCE among statewide educational and public policy leaders included publishing of articles in the North Carolina Biotechnology's online publications and the NC STEM Community Collaborative online public ation, affiliated with the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the Lt. Govemor. Additionally, PIJ oan Lorden presented remarks at the North Carolina Technology Association's statewide conference on the university's diversity efforts, including ADVANCE. The Chancellor's spouse spoke about women leaders on campus and included remarks on STEM women provided by ADVANCE. Articles in UNC Charlotte publications that are distributed to wide intemal and extemal a udiences include one in the College of Liberal Arts and Sciences magazine and another in the UNC Charlotte magazine. The Charlotte Observer and the Business Leaders magazine also have included coverage of ADVANCE and its efforts, as has the public radio station WFAE.
Increasing Participation: In the past, the primary communications method for inviting campus and extemal constituents to programming has been email. Faculty share concems about an overwhelming deluge of email, which limits the effectiveness of this as a communic ations vehicle. As a result, the recent ADVANCE communic ations have emphasized information on its website, fliers, campus calendars, news items on the colleges' websites, direct contacts with leaders, PowerPoint slides on hightraffic lobby screens, inclusion in the campus-wide Campus News and when appropriate, distribution to the extemal community through the daily newspaper, the Chamber of Commerce, the Crossroads Charlotte community-wide diversity website, CASTLE (a community science coalition), community events calendars, the local public radio station's website and on-a ir a nnouncements a nd other media. The communic ations also has been targeted to specific on-campus groups, including the curent

Bridges leadership members and alumni, student groups, Deans, department a nd college leaders, prior ADVANCE programming participants, the university PR team and others.

Intemal communic ations and project management: Efforts have included bringing more consistency to intemal communic ations, including more precision in minutes, agenda development and research with the Future of the Fac ulty committee to na rate and enable their work. Agendas, minutes and staff updates are now generated for the Steering Committee and A Team to improve effic iency and effec tiveness.

## Evaluation

## Evaluation Model

Evaluation is a critical component of ADVANCE, to assess program impact and to inform the community of best practices that can be successfully applied within the respective communities. Daniel Stufflebeam's Context, Input, Process, Product (CIPP) model [Stufflebeam, 2000] is being used to assess the program initiatives, providing valuable formative and summative evaluation measures.

Figure 1. Evaluation Model Formative


Summative

## Instruments and Implementation

To collect and report on important factors while keeping data collection requirements manageable, the ADVANCE Program Evaluation Team (PET) has established online data collection tools and individual interviews, collecting information on an ongoing basis throughout each semester. A culminating report is produced annually in June. Formative information is provided to program administrators throughout the year via A Team and Steering Committee meetings and distribution lists.

Summative information is made available to constituents throughout the yearon an ad hoc basis. Beginning in 2009-2010, the PETplansto develop scorecards and slidesfor ready-made 'road-shows' of ADVANCE impact to campus and community constituents. The PET is comprised of an intemal (university) evaluator and graduate assistant.

## Evaluation Goals

The following evaluation goals are customizations from recommendations presented to ADVANCE a ward recipients during the Principal Investigators' meeting in 2008, by Case Westem Reserve University. The evaluation goals for UNC Charlotte ADVANCE are to make systematic efforts to:

1. Track key indicators of representation, equity, and inclusion as presc ribed by the ADVANCE Toolkit
2. Conduct periodic institutional climate studies to assess climate as it perta ins to gender and diversity
3. Evaluate programs and interventions employed by the ADVANCE initiative, using mixed methods design (qualitative and quantitative means)
4. Strengthen UNC Cha dotte's institutional research infrastructure by improving intemal collection, a nalysis, and dissemination.
Chart 3. Evaluation Timeline for Essential Research Indicators

| Evaluation Timeline for Essential Research Questions and Indicators* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research Questions | Indicators | Evaluation Tracking Timeline |
| What is the distribution of sc ience and engineering faculty by gender, rank and department? | No. of faculty in tenured and tenure track positions by dept., rank, gender | Annual |
|  | No. of non-tenured faculty positions by dept., rank, gender | Trends comparison for Final Report (baseline and grant years) |
| What are the outcomes of institutional processes of recruitment and advancement formen and women? | No. of faculty who submit tenure packets, no. a warded, by gender and dept. | Annual |
|  | No. of faculty who apply for promotion, no. promoted, by gender, dept. and promotion transition | Annual |
|  | No. of tenured associate professors by dept. and gender with years in rank in 63 -yr categories | Annual |


|  | No. of faculty who leave dept. volunta rily by rank, genderand dept. | Annual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of faculty hired by rank, genderand dept | Annual |
|  | C ohort a nalyses of tenure and promotion, including to full professor | Trends compa nison for Final Report (baseline and grant years) |
|  | Additional UNC Charlotte Indic ator: Conduct periodic faculty c limate surveys (HERI, COACHE) and intemal survey on altemate years and provide College Climate Scorecards | Complete trend comparison by Annual Report 2011 |
|  | Additional UNC Chardotte Indicator: Conduct qualitative investigations of Mentoring and Bonnie Cone Fellowship programs | Conduct during 20102011; study in Annual Report 2011 |
| What is the gender distribution of science and engineering faculty in leadership positions in the institution? | No. of men and women sc ientists and engineers in leadership positions | Annual |
| What is the a llocation of resourcesforscience and engineering faculty by gender at the institution? | Study of salaries of men and women faculty with controls fordept., rank, years in rank | Trends comparison for Final Report (baseline and grant years) |
|  | Study of space allocation of STEM faculty by gender | Benchmark trend comparison for Final Report (2007 and 2010) |
|  | Study of start-up packages of newly hired faculty by gender with controls for field/dept., rank, etc. | Benchmark trend comparison for Final Report (2007 and 2010) |

*as outlined in the ADVANCE Toolkit unless otherwise noted

## Logic Model

The logic model serves in two capacities. The first capacity is to depict the ADVANCE activities. The logic model also functions to represent the hypothesis of program impact. The project components, i.e. activities, provide input to the campus that is expected to raise a wareness of gender disparity and inequity, inform policies and practices, and in tum impact decision maker a wa reness, search and research, tenure, and promotion (RTP) practices, which correlate with an equitable gender climate and
conditions. These elements influence female STEM faculty productivity and advancement, RPTand advancement into leadership. There is reciprocal impact between female faculty productivity and advancement and climate. The current logic model employed by ADVANCE UNC Charlotte is provided in Appendix A.

## Formative Evaluation

The PET will continue conducting formative evaluation for all project components a nd will mainta in the evaluation of the standard NSF indicator data along with pattems of STEM faculty by gender and rank for salary equity and climate. As noted by the site visit report, the following project components will begin formative evaluation as well as summative evaluation:

UNC Charlotte Leadership Program- Although the individual leadership workshops have been formatively evaluated, a summative evaluation is underway for presentation in the final report 2011. Preliminary results will be presented in the 2010 annual report, which will include a comparison of summative workshop evaluation, career progress of past participants, and a long term plan for comprehensive program impact. Primary questions guiding the evaluation are: did partic ipants find the workshops helpful, and if so, how? ; did partic ipants move into administrative leadership roles? Mid-career Mentoring Program- The new faculty mentoring program has been well received and a similar evaluation plan is underway for the a m of the program targeting associate faculty. Formative evaluation of the mid-career mentoring program will include surveys of partic ipants. Summative evaluation will include qualitative study of partic ipants' job satisfaction, promotion and perception of climate to the overall campus response. Key questions guiding the evaluation will be: does the program provide useful career guidance to participants? ; does the program enhance the academic climate for participants? ; and are participants more satisfied with their career and the campusclimate than nonpartic ipants?

## Evaluation Dissemination

The PET will collaborate closely with the newly a ppointed Program Communic ations Director in efforts to effectively communic ate ADVANC E progress to the campus community and constituents. As a summative report, the PET is in the process of designing a project and institutional scorecard to indicate progress towards goals. The primary indic ators of the project will be graphically depicted and streamlined forease in dissemination across audiences. An institutional scorecard will be made available to department chairs and college deans. PETanticipates developing additional departmental sc orecards as campus wide climate surveys are routinely implemented. We recommend these scorecards for use in departmental and unit strategic planning and intemal evaluations. In addition to the institutional scorecard, which is presented here in the 2010 annual report, and the departmental/unit sc orecards for 2011, the PET will mana ge all ADVANCE component evaluations and
communic ate all findings to the project leadership. Communic ations to the campus and additional constituents will be made in collaboration with the Program Communications Director.

## Summary of Evidence

The NSF site visit report from spring 2009 noted a lack of in-depth presentation and discussion of short term progress indicators and the 2008 NSF indicator data. However, the report also notes that the formative evaluation is exemplary, and that the program evaluation is progressing appropriately. To address concems regarding short-tem progress indicators, a benchmark scorecard is in development for the final report of 2011that will display program impact, campus wide gains, and projected overall impact to the university diversity equity initiatives. PET will communicate the annual report and signific ant evaluation results to the campuscommunity in conjunction with dissemination plans established by the newly appointed Program Communic ations Director. A summative table of institutional tra nsformation essential indic ators, as presc ribed by the ADVANCE Toolkit, is presented below (Figure 2). The most notable trends in gender equity outcomes are:

- The number and percentage of female faculty in STEM disc iplines remain low, however, steady increases of women in STEM tenure track fac ulty positions are evident.
- All promotion and tenure package submissions by STEM women since $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ have been granted. It is important to note that while this indicator is a positive trend, actual numbers reflect the low number of women submitting promotion a nd tenure packets compared to male colleagues. This is due in part to the existing disparity of females in STEM areas across the campus, the low volume of hiring women in STEM, a nd perhaps to other psycho-social forces such as departmental climate.
- The number of women in STEM leadership roles a cross campus has inc reased steadily since an initial drop following the baseline year, an encouraging factorthat is expected to have widespread and long-term impact on campus gender equity.


## Challenges and Lessons Leamed

A great challenge to the program has been the transition of ADVANCE office staff. The PEThired new staff in 2009 and continues to focus on strategic tracking of goals. A new Program Communications Director was hired during the Fall 2009 term to compliment the program in the ongoing charge to disseminate results and employ communications to further the work and to raise visibility of the ADVANCE initiatives. A new Administrative Support Associate washired in the spring of 2010 to serve as a full time project coordinator. Staff communications have been restructured to encompass use of a
functional and secure data and information repository. Regular staff meetings are in place and are proving to be instrumental in strea mlining program administration. The A TEAM steering committee has served asa guide for project initiatives.

Figure 2. Institutional Transformation Essential Indic ators Summation Baseline to 2009

| Institutional Transformation Essential Research Questions and Indicators* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Indicators |  |  |  |  |
| Research Questions | 2004 baseline | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 |
| What is the distribution of science and engineering faculty by gender, rank and department? | $14 \%$ of Tenure <br> Track STEM <br> Faculty are women; (188 Men, 31 Women) | $16 \%$ of Tenure <br> Track STEM <br> Faculty are women; (192 <br> Men, 38 <br> Women) | 18\% of Tenure <br> Track STEM <br> Faculty are women; (194 <br> Men, 42 <br> Women) | 20\% of Tenure <br> Track STEM <br> Faculty are women; (187 <br> Men, 46 <br> Women) | 20\% of Tenure <br> Track STEM Fac ulty <br> are women; (216 <br> Men, 53 Women) |
| What are the outcomes of institutional processes of recruitment advancement for men and women? | 22\% (2 out of 9) of STEM Tenure packet submissions from women; 50\% women promoted | 40\% (4 out of 10) of STEM Tenure packet submissions from women; $75 \%$ women promoted | 19\% (3 out of 16) of STEM Tenure packet submissions from women; 100\% women promoted | 25\% (3 out of 12) of STEM Tenure packet submissions from women; 100\% women promoted | $25 \%$ (4 out of 16) of STEM Tenure packet submissions from women; 100\% women promoted |
|  | 28\% (4 out of 14) of STEM faculty promotions were women; 75\% women promoted | 28\% (4 out of <br> 14) of STEM <br> faculty promotions were women; $75 \%$ women promoted | 25\% (4 out of <br> 16) of STEM <br> faculty promotions were women; 100\% women promoted | $15 \%$ (2 out of 13 ) of STEM faculty promotions were women; 100\% women promoted | 46\% (6 out of 13) of STEM faculty promotions were women; 100\% women promoted |
|  | Women associate professors hired at assista nt and associate level: years in rank range between 1 and $18 ; 0$ years most frequent and an average of 5.6. Men associate professors hired at assistant and associate level: years in rank range between 2-31, with 2 years most frequent and average 8.75. |  |  |  | Women associate professors hired at assistant and associate level: years in rank range between 1 and $25 ; 2$ years most frequent and an average of 5.39. Men associate professors hired at assistant and associate level: years in rank range between 0 31 , with 2 years most frequent and an average of 6.89 . |
|  | 0 women, 6 men voluntarily left STEM | 0 women, 6 men <br> voluntarily left STEM | 1 woman, 4 men voluntarily left STEM | 2 women, 6 men voluntarily left STEM | 1 woman, 3 men voluntarily left STEM |
|  | $44 \%$ (7 out of 16) STEM new hires were women | 27\% (6 out of <br> 22) STEM new hires were women | 24\% (5 out of <br> 21) STEM new hires were women | 31\% (8 out of 26) STEM new hires were women | $36 \%$ (5 out of 14) STEM new hires were women |
| What is the gender distribution of science and engineering faculty in leadership positions in the institution? | $35 \%$ of women in university leadership roles | $28 \%$ of women in university leadership roles | $28 \%$ of women in university leadership roles | $30 \%$ of women in university leadership roles | $35 \%$ of women in university leadership roles |

## Future Directions

A climate survey has been designed to specific ally address the campus needs, and fit into a routine cycle of implementation that compliments the university partic ipation in HERI and COACHE faculty surveys. The intemal customized survey has been implemented campus wide during the Spring semester. Having an intemal climate survey that is offered on an annual basis will enable the university to continuously monitor key indic ators of campus equity and satisfaction with consistency. 2010 will be the first year of customized climate data collection, with the university faculty having participated in the two aforementioned extemal climate surveys. The survey has been designed to measure the attitudes of tenure track faculty regarding campus climate, job satisfaction, campus and community, mentoring, students, teaching and evaluation of department chairs. The results will be distributed during the Fall 2010 term to the Provost and then on to the College Deans, in the form of a diversity climate scorecard, designed to align with the Colleges' diversity strategic plans.

A faculty recruitment study has begun to measure the presence of diversity language in recruitment ads, the diversity of candidate pools, the diversity of candidate finalists, and the diversity of newly hired faculty. The study will exa mine a ny disparities in STEM and non-STEM disc iplines and present recommendations regarding recruitment training and practices among Academic Affairs. The full study results will be ava ilable in Fall of 2010 as an intemal report and supplement to the 2011 annual report to the NSF.

A comprehensive salary equity study will be repeated in 2010 to detemine the status of gender salary equity a mong STEM faculty. This report is expected to provide a benchmark for continued campus engagement in gender equity initiatives beyond the lifecycle of the Institutional Transformation Award.

## Conclusions

While positive indicators of movement exist in the direction of advancing women in STEM faculty positions and leadership roles, parity remains a challenge. The gains made are notable, and must continue if gender parity is to be achieved. The ADVANCE initiative is a strong step forward in the university's focus toward gender equity. The momentum gained by the efforts made during the past four years of the initiative must continue beyond the grant lifecycle if the university is to see the continued upward trends in gender equity among STEM discipline faculty. A continuous focus toward gender equity requires institutiona lization of the policy recommendations, continued review of policies, and formalized partnershipsto ensure that equity indicators continue to be monitored and reported to the campus leadership, in a form that will allow action to occur.
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## Appendix A. Logic Model



## Appendix B: Toolkit Tables

Data Collection Methodology: The data used for the evaluation of the position of women in STEM and SBS fields for the a nnual report was collected in the beginning of September 2009. The period of time the charts for the annual report cover are for the 2008-2009 academic year, as this is the most currently available data. All of the appropriate faculty are included in the charts except for those hired after J anuary 2009. Only six individuals were hired after this data collection. The faculty who retired at the end of the 2008-2009 school year were included in the total number of faculty since they were still part of the departments and did represent the gender makeup of the department during the 2008-2009 academic year. At UNC Charlotte, Geography and Earth Science is one department, so these are included as a STEM field.

Table 1 displays the numbers and percentages of women tenured and tenure track faculty in STEM departments during the academic year 2008-2009 (the most current data available). This table shows that 20\% of STEM tenured a nd tenure track faculty are women, which represents a slow yet steady increase since baseline year of 2004, where $14 \%$ were women. The numbers of women in STEM tenured and tenure track faculty positions rema ins disparate to men in comparable positions. Computer Sciences and Geography and Earth Science are leading the STEM disciplines in their percentages of women faculty, as a result of recent hiring efforts. Parity has been reached between women and men faculty in most of the SBS disc iplines. However, a dispanity of women exists in Economics and a disparity of men exists in Anthropology and Psychology.

Table 1. UNC Charlotte, Number and Percent of Women Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty in Science and Engineering by Rank and Department. 2008-2009

|  | Females |  |  | Males |  |  | Percent Women |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full | Associate | Assistant | Full | Associate | Assistant | Full | Associate | Assistant |
| STEM SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 2 | 4 | 6 | 20 | 37 | 23 | 9.09\% | 9.76\% | 20.69\% |
| Engineering | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.00\% | 100.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Civil Engineering | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 33.33\% |
| Electrical and Comp. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 16.67\% |
| Engineering Technology | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 25.00\% | 22.22\% | 14.29\% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 27.27\% |
| Physical Sciences | 1 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 9.09\% | 23.53\% | 40.00\% |
| Chemistry | 1 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 16.67\% | 33.33\% | 50.00\% |
| Physics and Optical Science | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 0.00\% | 18.18\% | 25.00\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 1 | 2 | 3 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 4.35\% | 16.67\% | 20.00\% |
| Computer Sciences | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 12.50\% | 9.09\% | 38.89\% |
| Computer Science | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 16.67\% | 0.00\% | 45.45\% |


| Software and Information Systems | 0 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 5 | 5 |  | 0.00\% | 16.67\% | 28.57\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Life Sciences | 1 | 9 | 2 |  | 11 | 3 | 8 |  | 8.33\% | 75.00\% | 20.00\% |
| Biology | 1 | 6 | 1 |  | 10 | 2 | 5 |  | 9.09\% | 75.00\% | 16.67\% |
| Bioinformatics | 0 | 3 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 0.00\% | 75.00\% | 25.00\% |
| Geography and Earth Science | 0 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 5 |  | 0.00\% | 30.00\% | 44.44\% |
| TOTAL | 6 | 23 | 24 | 53 | 76 | 80 | 62 | 218 | 7.32\% | 22.33\% | 27.91\% |
| SBS Psychology and Social Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 1 | 8 | 4 |  | 7 | 10 | 1 |  | 12.50\% | 44.44\% | 80.00\% |
| Social Sciences | 8 | 15 | 11 |  | 25 | 15 | 11 |  | 24.24\% | 50.00\% | 50.00\% |
| Criminal Justice | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 25.00\% | 60.00\% | 50.00\% |
| Economics | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 9 | 4 | 2 |  | 10.00\% | 33.33\% | 33.33\% |
| Political Science | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 8 | 7 | 3 |  | 20.00\% | 36.36\% | 50.00\% |
| Sociology | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 50.00\% | 60.00\% | 50.00\% |
| Anthropology | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 33.33\% | 100.00\% | 66.67\% |
| TOTAL | 9 | 23 | 15 |  | 32 | 25 | 12 |  | 21.95\% | 47.92\% | 55.56\% |

Table 2 reflects the faculty gender composition for the baseline ac a demic year of 2004-2005, prior to the start of the ADVANCE initiative. This data reveals the preponderance of women in non-tenure track faculty positions in STEM and SBS disc iplines. The baseline data will be compared to the comparable data during the final year of the grant as a measure of trendsduring the project initia tive.

Table 2. Fall 2004 STEM and SBS Departmental Faculty Gender Composition

|  | Tenured and Tenure Track |  |  | Non-Tenure Track |  |  | Non-Tenure Track as \% of All Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All | Women | \% Women | All | Women | \% Women |  |
| ENGINEERING | 76 | 8 | 10.54\% | 8 | 1 | 12.50\% | 11.10\% |
| Engineering | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Engineering Technology | 19 | 2 | 10.53\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Electrical and Comp. Engineer | 21 | 1 | 4.76\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| Mechanical Engineering | 21 | 4 | 19.05\% | 5 | 1 | 20.00\% | 20.00\% |
| Civil Engineering | 13 | 1 | 7.69\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| PHYSICAL SCIENCES | 33 | 7 | 21.21\% | 5 | 2 | 40.00\% | 22.22\% |
| Chemistry | 17 | 4 | 23.53\% | 5 | 2 | 40.00\% | 33.33\% |
| Physics and Optical Science | 16 | 3 | 18.75\% | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| EARTH SCIENCE | 19 | 1 | 5.26\% | 6 | 3 | 50.00\% | 75.00\% |
| Geography and Earth Science | 19 | 1 | 5.26\% | 6 | 3 | 50.00\% | 75.00\% |
| MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS | 41 | 6 | 14.63\% | 8 | 6 | 75.00\% | 50.00\% |
| COMPUTER SCIENCES | 28 | 4 | 14.29\% | 8 | 2 | 25.00\% | 33.33\% |
| Computer Science | 18 | 3 | 16.67\% | 7 | 2 | 28.57\% | 40.00\% |
| Software \& Information | 10 | 1 | 10.00\% | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| LIFE SCIENCES | 22 | 5 | 22.73\% | 7 | 5 | 71.43\% | 50.00\% |
| Biology | 22 | 5 | 22.73\% | 7 | 5 | 71.43\% | 50.00\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PSYCHOLOGY | 26 | 9 | $34.62 \%$ | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | $18.18 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SOCIAL SCIENCES | 68 | 27 | $39.71 \%$ | 9 | 4 | $44.44 \%$ | $12.90 \%$ |
| Criminal Justice | 9 | 4 | $44.44 \%$ | 2 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ |
| Economics | 15 | 3 | $20.00 \%$ | 4 | 1 | $25.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Political Science | 21 | 6 | $28.57 \%$ | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Sociology and Anthropology | 23 | 14 | $60.87 \%$ | 3 | 1 | $33.33 \%$ | $6.67 \%$ |

Tables 3, 4a and $\mathbf{4 b}$ show the tenure review outcomes by gender from the 2005-06 a cademic year to the 2008-09 academic year. In STEM disciplines, men are applying for early tenure more often than women. The number of women in STEM applying to and receiving tenure has remained failly constant, and low compared to men, whose applications and approvals have increased since 2005. Table 4a displays the promotion to associate level review outcomes by genderfrom the 2005-06 academic year to the 2008-09 a cademic year. Women faculty applic ations for promotion to associate represent only $27 \%$ of the total between 2005 and 2009. The gender gap remains in associate level reviews between women and men faculty, however it is a slightly smallergap than in the overall promotion reviews (as shown in Table 3 above), an indication that women in STEM faculty roles are progressing from assistant to associate levels at a slightly faster rate than they are from a ssoc iate to full (Table 4b). Women fa culty a pplications for promotion to full represent only $30 \%$ of the total between 2005 and 2009. Approvals are higher for women than men across both levels of promotion, from assista nt to associate and associate to full.

| Table 3: Tenure Review Outcomes by Gender 2005-2009-STEM Fields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Reviews |  | \# of Approvals |  | \# of Denials |  | Early Tenure |  |
| Pre-ADVANCE Year: 2005-2006 | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Engineering | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 1: 2006-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 2: 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 3: 2008-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 3: Tenure Review Outcomes by Gender 2005-2009 - SBS Fields

| \# of Reviews | \# of Approvals | \# of Denials | Early Tenure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Pre-ADVANCE Year: 2005-2006 | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Psychology | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Social Science | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ADVANCE Year 1: 2006-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Social Science | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 2: 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Social Science | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| ADVANCE Year 3: 2008-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Social Science | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 4a: Promotion Review Outcomes by Gender: Assistant to Associate 2005-2009 - STEM Fields

|  | \# of Reviews |  | \# of Approvals |  | \# of Denials |  | Early Tenure and Promotion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-ADVANCE Year: 2005-2006 | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Engineering | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 1: 2006-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 2: 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 3: 2008-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Engineering | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 4a: Promotion Review Outcomes by Gender: Assistant to Associate 2005-2009-SBS Fields |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \# of Reviews |  | \# of Approvals |  | \# of Denials |  | Early Tenure and Promotion |  |
| Pre-ADVANCE Year: 2005-2006 | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Psychology | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Social Science | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ADVANCE Year 1: 2006-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Social Science | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 2: 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Social Science | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| ADVANCE Year 3: 2008-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Science | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 4b: Promotion Review Outcomes by Gender: Associate to Full 2005-2009 - STEM Fields

|  | \# of Reviews |  | \# of Approvals |  | \# of Denials |  | Unscheduled Promotion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-ADVANCE Year: 2005-2006 | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Engineering | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |


| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 1: 2006-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 2: 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ADVANCE Year 3: 2008-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Earth Atmospheric, and Ocean Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematical and Computer Sciences | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Biological/Agricultural Sciences | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4b: Promotion Review Outcomes by Gender: Associate to Full 2005-2009 - SBS Fields

|  | \# of Reviews |  | \# of Approvals |  | \# of Denials |  | Unscheduled Promotion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-ADVANCE Year: 2005-2006 | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Psychology | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Social Science | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| ADVANCE Year 1: 2006-2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 2: 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Science | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| ADVANCE Year 3: 2008-2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Science | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Table 5ab displays the years in rank at the associate level by gender. The pattem for women in STEM is slightly different from that of men, in that a greater proportion of women spend 0-5 years in rank than do males. This may be an indicator that women are being newly hired or that males are getting promoted faster than females. When considering that the percentage of new faculty hires who are women is roughly one-third of male faculty hires (between 2004-05 and 2008-09), it may be that the latter expla nation is likely. It should be noted that UNC Charlotte only recently became a research intensive university, prior to which a teaching track option existed forfaculty. This could expla in a large proportion of the faculty in 15 or more years in rank and the large proportions of both women and men with 6 or more years in rank at the associate level, especially for male faculty who likely made up a much higher proportion of those hired when the university was a teaching university.

Table 5. Years in Rank at the Associate Professor Level for STEM and SBS Faculty Hired as Assistant Professor and Associate, 2008-2009

| Years in Rank | STEM |  |  |  | SBS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women |  | Men |  | Women |  | Men |  |
|  | Number | \% of Women | Number | \% of Men | Number | \% of Women | Number | \% of Men |
| 0-2 | 9 | 52.94\% | 26 | 37.68\% | 6 | 23.08\% | 10 | 32.26\% |
| 3-5 | 4 | 23.53\% | 8 | 11.59\% | 6 | 23.08\% | 8 | 25.81\% |
| 6-8 | 1 | 5.88\% | 16 | 23.19\% | 1 | 3.85\% | 3 | 9.68\% |
| 9-11 | 0 | 0.00\% | 6 | 8.70\% | 4 | 15.38\% | 3 | 9.68\% |
| 12-14 | 1 | 12.50\% | 2 | 2.90\% | 2 | 7.69\% | 2 | 6.45\% |
| 15 or more | 2 | 11.76\% | 11 | 15.94\% | 7 | 26.92\% | 5 | 16.13\% |


|  | STEM |  | SBS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Range | 5.00 | 15.00 | 10.00 | 11.00 |
| Mean | 20.50 | 22.00 | 18.71 | 23.80 |


| Standard Deviation | 3.54 | 6.36 | 4.07 | 4.55 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median | 20.50 | 20.00 | 19.00 | 22.00 |
| N | 2 | 11 | 7 | 5 |

Note: Percents in columns refer to the percentage of men out of total men at each category of rank, so the percentage of men with years in rank of 0-2 is $32.26 \%$ out of the total of $100 \%$ of men in all rank categories.

Table 6 shows the fa culty attrition by rank and gender for 2008-09, the most recent academic year available. Voluntary leave for all levels is comparable between women and men. However, when considered in conjunction with the lower representation of women in STEM, the attrition of even 1 woman has a greater impact on gender disparity than does 3 men.

Table 6. Voluntary, Non-Retirement Attrition, by Rank and Gender, 2008-2009

|  | Assistant |  | Associate |  | Full |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| STEM | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men |
| Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Engineering |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Electrical and Comp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mechanical Engineering |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Sciences |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemistry |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physics and Optical Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Table 7 displays new fac ulty STEM hires in 2008-09 by gender. Men were hired twice as often a s women were for STEM faculty positions (2 to 1 ratio).

Table 7. New Hires in STEM and SBS, 2008-2009



Table 8 shows the faculty leadership gender distribution, and indic ates that women are less represented than men. However, it should be noted that female leadership hascontinued to increase since baseline year, a positive force forthe campus gender equity initia tive.

Table 8. Faculty Leadership Positions

Number of Women Faculty

|  | All Faculty | All | STEM | SBS |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Tenured Full Professors | 206 | 37 | 6 | 8 |
| Full Professors | 227 | 42 | 6 | 9 |
| STEM Department Heads | 10 | na | 1 | na |
| SBS Department Heads | 7 | na | na | 3 |
| Deans | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Associate/Assistant Deans | 24 | 12 | 3 | 1 |
| Center Directors | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| President, Vice-Presidents, <br> Provost, Vice-Provosts | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Endowed/Named Chairs | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Promotion and Tenure <br> Committees |  |  |  | 0 |

## Appendix C: List of STEM and SBS Departments

## Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Departments

Engineering: Civil Engineering, Engineering, Electric al and Computer Engineering, Engineering Technology, Mechanical Engineering

Physical Sciences: Chemistry, Physics and Optical Science
Mathematics and Statistics
Computer Science: Computer Science, Software and Information Systems
Life Sciences: Biology, Bioinformatics
Geography and Earth Science: At UNC Charlotte Geography and Earth Science is one department, so these are included as a STEM field.

## Social and Behavioral Sciences

Psychology
Social Sciences: Criminal J ustice, Economics, Political Science, Sociology, Anthropology
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[^0]:    "I think the best part was the disc ussion by the faculty/admins about how to implement best practices in recruiting and seeing some people start to understand that there are legal ways to address diversity. But the discussion part could have been lonaer!" - Oct. 2009

